

Harren States Barrier and Transcation Ormon

UNITEO STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Trademark Office Adhesis COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS FO. Box 1450 Missards, Vignia 22315-1450

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,135	10/11/2001	Kevin Rodney Lassila	113PUS05900P	2199	
23543	7590 06/03/2004		EXAM	INER	
AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS, INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT		CAIN, EDWARD J			
7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALLENTOWN, PA 181951501			1714		

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	09/975,135	LASSILA ET AL.	(
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward J. Cain	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communical riod for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Barbard of the may be available under the possessor of 2 in the production of the prod	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a after. ye, a reply within the statutory minimum of the yepend will apply and will expire SIX (B) MO to statute.	reply be timely filed ity (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this communical flammanch, not 1 or 1 or 1 or 1	tion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice u	inder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
sposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the appl	ication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.		
 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are allowed. 			
 Claim(s) <u>11-22</u> is/are rejected. 			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to			

41/21	Claim/e)	1.22	ir/oro	nendina	in	tho	opplient

8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

St

Dί

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _ 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attac	hment(s)
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) [2]	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
9 🗵	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Application/Control Number: 09/975,135 Art Unit: 1714

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctring grounded in public policy for policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In e Goodman. IF 534 1046, 29 USPO24 2010 (Fed. Cr. 1993), In re Longt, 759 F.24 887, 2255. USPO24 2010 (Fed. Cr. 1993), In re Longt, 1759 F.24 887, 2255. USPO 645 (Fed. Cr. 1985); In we Vogel, 422 F.25 d.38, 164 USPO 619 (CCPA 1970), and, In re Thorington, 418 F.25 (52) 83 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b)

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,313,182. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the chemical compounds claimed instantly are taught as components of the aqueous compositions of the patent.

Claims 1-10 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-0308. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714